Previous references.

L. R 228 of 97/98

1897

~~190~~

~~98~~

(Land Rev)

File No.

39 ~~227~~ of 97/98

Later references.

(1) R.S 150 of 98-99

(2) L. R. 230 of 97/98

G. 215 1-40 Sec 1, 2, 7 12-22, 27, 28, 32 & maps

97 56-20-(1-15)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–6

Maps ~~PART~~ B. ~~FOR~~

Boundary Mark's Index

SUBJECT.

Inam Villages of Kandagudi &c in the Hosakote Taluk taken possession of ~~and managed~~ by Govt

---

Table of Contents

Sl No 1 No D 1164 of 3.3.98 from the D.C. Bangalore States that notices issued to Ramanna & others for the payment of the arrears of instalment due by them have of no avail and suggests the taking over of the villages under Govt management

2 No 8750 of 17.3.98 do do

Requests him to forward the Inam list

explanation for the omission of the payment

3 Rc D/853 of 20.4.98 from the D.C. Bangalore
Submits the explanation

4 Rc 10974 of 18.5.98 to do do
Requests him to forward the Registered deed
of mortgage executed by the Inamdars
for perusal by Govt.

5 Rc D/1550 E of 23.5.98 from do do
forwards the deed.

6 G.O. No. ~~3541 E of~~ 12022-24 of 22.6.98
to the D.C. Bangalore & Kolar & the Comptr
directs that the Inam villages and Kaval
mortgaged by the Inamdars of
Nandgudi &c be taken under
Govt Management and a Report on
the Result of the measure be submitted
to Govt for information

(Note contd)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT.

Regarding the instalments of money due from Inamdars Nos. 1 Venkatadasappa, 2 Hanumantha Rao, 3 Rukminiamma, 4 Ramanna & 5 Seenappa - Gudi Ramanna & others towards the liquidation of the loan of Rs 65 000/- granted to them by Govt.

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 1383 dated 20. 4. 98

from The Dy Comr, Bangalore

1. Venkatadasappa
2. Hanumantha Rao
3. Rukminiamma.
4. Ramanna — ~~C. No. 39~~ L.R. 1946 91-2
5. Seenappa. — S. No 12. L.R. 1687 94-5

In Govt Lrs: Nos 3544-5 P.J. 192-92 dy 30th August 1894 and 4789-90 R.J. 58-94 dy 17th November 1895, a loan of Rs 65 000/- was granted to Nandagudi Ramanna and others on the security of their Jodi and Kayenguttu villages as noted on the margin, subject to repayment at the rate of Rs 4500/- a year and to the condition that all or any amount due to the Inamdars on account of Toddy revenue has to be taken by Government towards the

1. Jodi Siddanahalli
2. " Hosavenkatapura
3. " Dalasagere
4. " Geddehallipura
5. " Medure.
6. Kayanguttu Nadaprnaikanhalli
7. Ittasandra
8. Nandagudi Durga Kaval
9. Vaddahalli village.

2

reduction of the loan.

2. One of the mortgaged villages viz Tadapnaikanhalli is situate in the Kolar District. The Deputy Comr. of Kolar in his letter No. 5054/407 × dy 26.6.96 brought to the notice of Govt. that the Inamdar had failed to pay the demand of Rs 371-13-5 due on ..... of that village.

× Ser
R.R 249 96-97 SC1

3. The Deputy Comr. of ...galore was thereupon asked to report whether the annual payment of Rs 4500/- was being regularly made and whether the quit rent &c due for the villages in the Bangalore D... had been fully paid up.

× ~~Serial No 64.~~
No 1205-/A.J.58-94 dy 30.7.96.
DC — SC 2

4. In his No. 215 × dy 26th A... 1896, the Bangalore Deputy C... reported that after deducting the toddy revenue due to ... Inamdars for the years 1892-93, 1893-94 and 1894-95 viz Rs 3788-14-0, there remained outstanding against the

× ~~Serial No 65.~~
DC — SC 3

the Inamdars a balance of Rs 6737-1-3. He suggested that the villages be taken under Govt management as the Inamdars were not likely to make regular payments.

5. In the meantime Ramanna presented a petition to Government stating that a larger amount (Rs 11000) than was outstanding against him was due to him on account of toddy revenue and that nevertheless the Deputy Commr had ordered the adoption of coercive measures and even suggested the transfer of the mortgaged villages to Govt management. This petition was forwarded to the Deputy Commr of Bangalore for an early report. In his No 107 dy 15th July 1897, the Deputy Commr reported that :—

(1) The Inamdars though repeatedly asked to pay up the arrears in time, failed to do so;

× Serial No 66
No 3.62 dy 5. 9. 96.
L.R 2209/91-97 S.H.

× Serial No 67
2678/R.J.58-94 dy 7.9.96.

× Serial No 73.

H

till the end of June 1897 was Rs 11354-5-10, including the Jodi on the villages situate in the Bangalore Dt;

(3) from the records of the Inam Department, it was clear that that Department had recognized the right of the Jodidars to the toddy revenue of only 5 villages viz Dalasagere, Hosavenkatapura, Medur, Geddahallipura and Siddanahalli and not of the villages of Ittasoundra and Agrahar Vaddarahalli;

(4) the Asst Comr, Mr B. Coopooswamy Naidu, who was deputed to investigate the claims of the holders of alienated villages, reported that the Jodidars in question had no right to the rental of the Kudigunal Toddy Shop and of the two villages of Ittasandra and Agrahar Vaddarahalli;

(5) the documents on which the Inamdars based their claim, viz,

1643-3-10	due on account of Jodi
13500-0-0	3 instalments of the loan.
15143-3-10	
3788-14-0	Deduct amount of toddy revenue due to Inamdars
11354-5-10	

But the Dy Comr in his letter dtd 1268 of 26.3.98 stated that the instalments due from the Inamdars till June 1897 were only two and not three as shown above, since the loan was granted to them in September 1896 and requested correction of the statement submitted by him. He was requested to submit a revised statement but no reply has been received regarding it. In his No 1383 of 20.4.98 the Dy Comr states that the arrears of instalments due amount to Rs 9711-2-0

the Hukum of the Jonydar and the order of the late Division Superintendent, did not support their claim; nor were they entitled by grant or Sanad to open a toddy shop;

(6) Hindigal was a Govt village and the toddy shop therein was opened on Sundays in a rent free tope of that village, belonging to the Jodidars in question and this ~~fact~~ was no ground for their claim to the rental of the shop;

(7) according to the principle laid down by the Excise Comr in his No 1037/39 dy 30th August 1894 × to the Dy Comr of Tumkur, 1/3 of the net toddy revenue of Ittasandra and Agrahar Vaddanhalli might be given to the Jodidars on account of their proprietary right of trees, since they are not entitled by Sanad or grant to the toddy revenue thereof;

× Enclosure to Serial No 73.

In this letter the Excise Comr states as follows:- "But in the case of alienated villages not entitled to Excise Revenue by Sanad, the whole of the purchase money or rental of the shops existing therein vests in Govt, the Inamdars being entitled only to Mohatarfa tax on the shops, and in regard to groves existing in such villages 2/3 of the purchase money thereof or rental are calculated as Govt share on account of toddy, 1/3 rdo there of minus proportionate cost of establishments being treated as the Inamdar's share on account of their proprietary right to the trees"

the villages in his District viz. Rs 1643-3-10 were deducted, that a Public Service Transfer Receipt for the remainder (Rs 344-12-2) was forwarded to the Deputy Comr of Kolar on account of the Jodi due for Nadapnaikanhalli, that the Inamdars were given two months' time to pay up the instalments towards the liquidation of the loan and that if they failed to do so, the fact would be reported to Govt. This letter of the Dy Comr was recorded with the observation that the further report regarding the payment or otherwise, after the given time of 2 months, of the instalments due by the Inamdars was awaited.

× Serial No 78.
No 3535-36 dy 29.10.97.
R.7.58-94

L.R. 225 of 97-98

8. In his No 1164 dy 3rd March 1898 the Dy Comr reported that the arrears were not paid up by the Inamdars

× Serial No 81

L.R. 229 of 97-98

and that the Amildar in bringing to notice the impracticability of recovering any amount from them suggested the advisability of taking over the management of the Jodi villages mortgaged as already recommend by him. The Dy Comr was then requested[×] to obtain the explanation of the Inamdar regarding his omission to pay the arrears within the time fixed by the Dy Comr.

9. At the same time the Survey Superintendent, who had reported in his No.[⊗] 518 dy 12th Septr 1895, that the rates of assessment in the Inam villages of Ramanna and others had been fixed but not announced and that Phut Pahani had still to be carried out, was requested[*] to inform whether the Phut-Pahani had since been completed and if so with

× Serial No 82.
8750 / 58-94 dy 17. 3. 98.

⊗ Serial No 61.

*. Serial No 84.
8751 / 58-94 dy 17. 3. 98.

what result and whether the rates had been announced. In reply he reported that the Phut Pahani had been completed and that the Akarbands were accordingly sent to the Dy Comr for announcing the Survey rates but no reply was received. He further stated that no Phut Pahani was done regarding Nadap-naikanhalli in the Sidlaghatta Taluk. The Dy Comr of Bangalore was then requested to report whether the Survey rates had been announced and what the result of the settlement was. The Survey Supdt was also asked as to when the Phut Pahani of Nadapnaikanhalli was expected to be carried out. The Supdt reports in reply that though letters and reminders were sent to the Amildar of Sidlaghatta not even the slightest attempt was made by him to reply them and that the Dy

Serial No 85
No 615 dt 24.3.98.
L. S 168
94-95

× Serial No 87

× Serial No 88

× Serial No 89.
No 715 dt 13.4.98.

Comr of Kolar would be re-quested to get the information required.

10. In accordance with G.O. No 8750/R.F.58-94 ± dy 17th March 1898, the Dy Comr of Bangalore submits× the explanation of the Inamdars regarding their failure to pay the arrears within the time fixed by the Dy Comr. The explanation was obtained from Ramanna on the 16th April 1898 who in his Kaifiyat× given before the Dy Comr states that he acted as agent for Seenappa and Rukmini-amma who acquiesced in what he did and that the other two Inamdar debtors were absent from their native place. In his explanation× Ramanna states that :-

(1) according to the terms of the Sannad, the Inamdars were enjoying the Abkari revenue of the groves and

± Vide para 8 supra.

82 of this file

× Letter No 1383 dy 20.4.98.

82 8.

× Ver: paper No 4 enclosed in the above.

× Ver: paper No 3 enclosed in the above.

and shops in the Inam villages and also of the Shop at Hindignal for the last 70 years;

(2) in 1884 Govt. stopped payment of the Abkari revenue, from which the Inamdars would have realized a sum of Rs 20,000/-

(3) the groves in the Inam villages and the shop at Hindigna C were sold for a sum of Rs 20000/- odd, for the years from 1892-93 to 1896-97;

(4) from this amount plus the amount from 1884 viz Rs 20,000 (total Rs 40,000) the instalments due from them might be deducted;

(5) The fact of their being entitled to the Abkari revenue of Illasandra and Agrahar Vaddarahalli was proved by the Hukeem given by the Izaradar, Subbaiya, to the Shikmidar and by the Izara license given by the late Division Superintendent to the Ezaradar

(6) ... on the 9th November 1849, the Toddy revenue of the villages and shops including the shop at Hindigal was paid by the Amildar to his ancestors in obedience to the orders of the late Division Superintendent;

(7) all these records were produced before the Excise Asst Comr Mr Shama Rao and copies thereof were also in the District office; and that

(9) the amount due to Govt might be deducted from the amount due to the Inamdars on account of Toddy.

11. Ramanna has also submitted a statement× along with the explanation, which shows the amount claimed by him and it is as follows :—

× Vide paper No 5 enclosure to D. Cs No 1383 dt 20. 4. 98.

P. T. O

Rs. as. ps.	
10176-10-0	Amount due on account of the seven villages for 4 years from 1893-94 to 1896-97 calculated at the average rate of auction amounts.
2544-2-6 × 9 = 22897-6-6	— Do — for nine years from 1884 to 1893 calculated as above.
33074-0-6.	Total for 13 years
17810-0-0	Amount due on account of shops including the Hindiganal Sante Shop for 13 years.
50884-0-6.	Total.
3180-4-10	Deduct cess at the rate of one anna per rupee.
47703-11-8.	
5776-0-0	Deduct amount paid by Govt.
41927-11-8.	Amount claimed by the Inamdar.

12. In submitting the explanation of the Inamdar, the Dy Comr states[x] that the Inamdar's claims to Abkari revenue have been settled and a total sum of Rs 5776 nearly which they were found entitled to have been credited to Govt in payment of the arrears of land revenue

[x] Letter No 1383 dt 20.4.98.

and instalments of the loan and that the explanation of the Inamdars is quite inadmissible and there remains no other alternation than to take the Inam villages under Govt management.

13. From the statements shewing the particulars of advances made to the Inamdars of the Bangalore District of the Paddy Revenue of their Villages received with the Excise Comm^rs letter No 687 of 31st July 1896 & Bangalore Dy Comrs No 9788 of 26th January 1898 it will be seen that the whole of the rental realized in the x unequally mala d Villages belonging to Ramanna & others during the years 1893-94 & 1894-95 viz Rs 3788-14-0 was credited to Government towards the loan due from the said individuals while out of the rental of Rs 3420/- realized on account of the first 5 Villages above referred to for 1895-96 & 1896-97 a sum of Rs 1988-0-0 has been paid & the balance

x
1 Dalsagere
2 Hosa Venkatapur
3 Mudur
4 Veddalahalli
5 Siddanahalli.
6 Ittasandra
7 Agrahar Vaddahalli.

viz 1,432 apparently reserved for adjustment of the Excess payment of Rs 1,287-12-0 × made in previous year on account of Illasandra & Agrahar Vaddahalli to the Toddy Revenue of which the Inamdars are not entitled. Please see sub para (3) para 5 of this note. The difference between the amount withheld & that required for adjustment of the Excess payment viz Rs 1432-0-0 — 1287-12-0 is only Rs 144-4-0 which will go towards the cost of the Establishment — 14. Thus, the Inamdars' contention that they are entitled to Rs 10176-10-0 for 4 years from 1893-94 to 1896-97 appears to be groundless. More still remains however the question as to whether they are entitled to the Toddy Revenue of the 2 Villages of Illasandra & Agrahar Vaddahalli & the Shop at Hindiganal. (Please see sub para (4) para 5 of this note). It also remains to be ascertained whether any and what amount of Toddy revenue is due to

× Illasandra - 609-14-0
Agrahar Vaddahalli 677-14-0
Total 1,287-12-0

Note
This information is not available in the Office, as no accounts have been made & the Inamdars in the Kolar Dt

the Inamdars on account of the Kayangulla Village of Nadapinaikanahalli in the Siddaghatta Taluk of the Kolar District and how the Inamdars' claim for such revenue in the Villages of the Bangalore District for 9 years from 1884 to 1893 has been decided.

Submitted for orders.

4/5/98

4/5/98

15. The Inamdars' contention is not tenable. The Villages were mortgaged to Govt. They were leased by the mortgagors for an annual rent. This rent has fallen heavily into arrears. In the matter of land revenue these Inamdars have been treated precisely the same as other Inamdars. Advances have been sanctioned subject to final

-ment; Where advances
have been bottom bonds
post-payment of the
rent alone reserved b:
The contention that
a great deal more is
due ~~to~~ on account
of bodily reasons &
that consequently the
payment of the
arrears of rent alone
not be enforced
cannot be accepted
If after full question of
Damages claims b:
bodily reasons proved
settled it should be
found that any money
is due to these people
over & above the advances
granted they will
of course get that
money. The pendency
of that question is no
ground for allowing
the rent to fall into
arrear. Suspension
of the Village may be
presumed as recom-
-mended by the Dy
Comm & consequently
made for the
for that recommendation

Decree of the Court ordered. In no other way does it seem possible to recover the rent punctually.

Ruled for Respts.
Eastern Div. C

13-5-98

16. I quite agree that the Contention is frivolous & groundless. The villas should be placed under good management. I had my own misgivings about the soundness of the security & the Landlord's solvency when such a large sum as 6000 Rs was loaned to these Landlords.

M. Govindaraja Rao
15/5/98

Please show me the deed executed by the Landlords — 15/5/98.

Where is the [illegible]

17. The mortgage & lease deeds recd with the Dy Com[r]'s letter No 1530 of 23/5/98 are herewith submitted. Please see conditions I and XI of the lease deed; & pages 2 & 3 of the mortgage deed.

3/6/98 Submd to Revd E Du

4/6/98.

Deeds Seen and returned by the Rev. Connella E. Du.

8th June 1898

draft answer submitted 9-6-98

Draft subd. 11/6/98.

18. As directed in paras 15 and 16 supra, orders were issued to the Dy Comm[r]s of Bangalore & Kolar in Govt Pro: no. 12022-24 (105) & R 758-94 & 22d June 1898, to resume possession of the villages & make suitable arrangements for their management by Govt.

19. In reply thereto, the Dy Comm[r] of Bangalore reported that × no. 6448 (106) & 20. Dec[r] 18 on the 2d July 1898 the

(81) K 5871

1897-97

From

The Dy. Commissioner, of the Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 3rd
Despatched 4 } March 1898.
Received

General No.
D No. 1164

Enclosure No.

Govt. order No. 3835-6
R 28-94
dy 29th Oct. 1897.

Reports regarding the irrecoverability of remaining the arrears due by Jodidars Ramanna & others, of the Kaidala Jodi villages in the Hoskote Taluk on account of the Loan granted them & suggests the advisability of taking over the management of the Jodi villages mortgaged.

---

(1) What about the Survey Settlement? Did you enquire? See my note dated 7th Feb.

(2) Draw the Dy. Com's attention to the words "if the Executive deletion does not sufficiently explain the omission" in order dated No. 76, & enquire why the Executive explanation is not sufficient.

12/3/98

(1d/2) Sd/- [illegible]
10/3/98

Submitted for orders.
10/3/98

Secretary

D. No. 1164

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 3-4 March 1898.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev & Genl Depts.

Sir

(78) With reference to Govt. order No. 3835-6 / R 758-94 of the 29th October 1897, I have the honor to report that the notices issued to Jodidars Ramanna and others of the Kandugudi village in the Hoskote Taluk, for the payment of the arrears of instalments amounting to Rs 9711-2-4, due from them towards the liquidation of the loan made to them, have been of no avail and the arrears were not paid. The Amildar in bringing to notice the impracticability of recovering any amount from them suggests the advisability of taking over the management of the Jodi villages mortgaged. This step was recommended by the late Deputy Commissioner in this Office letter No. D 187 (73) of the 15th July 1897.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

mvs

Draft

83

To.

The Dy Commr
Bangalore District.

Dated 17/3/98
No 8750
R.F. 58-94

The Dy Commr's letter No 1164 of 3d March 1898 stating that in spite of notices issued to them, Jodidars Ramanna & others of the Naudagudi village, Hoskote Taluk, have not paid the arrears of instal-ments, viz Rs. 9,711-2-0, due ~~from~~ by them towards the liqui-dation of the Govt debt, and that therefore the jodi villages may, as already suggested, be taken over under the manage-ment of Govt.

Referring to the above, has the honor to draw the attention of the Dy Commr to the words "if the Inamdars debtor does not sufficiently explain the omission" in para 2

of Govt Pro: No 2770-72
R.7.58.94
dated 11th September 1897. and
to enquire what the Dram-
-dars explanation is for his
failure to pay the ~~instalments~~
~~is~~ arrears within the time
fixed by the Dy Commr.

"By Order"

2 Drafts

15/3/97

Jr Secretary

15/3/97

Post. 76.



From
The Dy. Commissioner, of the
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Genl & Rev Depts

Dated 20th April 1898
Despatched 21st
Received
General No.
No. 1383
Enclosure No. 1 file

Secys docket No. 8750
R.T. 58-94
of the 17th March 1898.

Submits explanation
of Inamdars Nundapuri
Ramanna & others of the
Hoskote Taluk for their fai
-lure to pay the arrears of
instalments due by them
towards the liquidation
of the Govt debt.

Rev 23/4/98

Submitted for orders on Serial No 81 Communicated to the Dy Commr in Serial No 83.

25/4/98

Have they made out that such a large sum is due to them on account of costs. Please prepare a short note

26/4/98

Put with note as directed.

4/5/98

Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 20th April 1898.

D No. 1383.

Su: Ufle

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General and Revenue depts.

Sir, In replay to your No. 8750 R.758.94 dated the 17th March 1898, calling for the explanation of Nandapudi Ramanna and others of the Hoskote Taluk for their failure to pay the arrears of instalments, viz. Rs. 9711-2-0, due by them towards the liquidation of the Government debt, I have the honor to forward herewith the explanation of Nandapudi Ramanna, as required in the above

2- In his statement, Nandapudi Ramanna (representing the other Inamdars also) states that a sum of Rs. 42,000 nearly is due to his family on account of the abkari Revenue of his Inam villages, &c, and requests that this amount may be set off towards the amount due

to Government. But their claims to the abkari Revenue of their villages, have been settled and a total sum of Rs. 5776 nearly, which they were found entitled to, has been credited to Government in payment of the arrears of land revenue and instalments of the loan due by them. - The explanation of the Inamdars is therefore quite inadmissible and there remains no alternative but to take the Inam villages under Government management, as recommended in previous letters of this Office. An explanation given by Nandagudi Ramanna before the Amildar is also forwarded herewith.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

A. Cunningham
Asst. Commissioner
in District charge
for Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

ಆದೇಶದ ಪ್ರತಿ

ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಾಗಿ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಪರ ವಕೀಲರು ಮತ್ತು ಎದುರುದಾರರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

1) ಎದುರುದಾರರು ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸೇವಾ ನ್ಯೂನತೆ ಎಸಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಘೋಷಿಸಿ,
2) ಎದುರುದಾರರು ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವಾಗಿ ರೂ. 3) ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ
4) ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ, 5) ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಉಚಿತ ಮತ್ತು ಸೂಕ್ತ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು ಈ ಆಯೋಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಅಂಶಗಳೆಂದರೆ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು ಎದುರುದಾರರಿಂದ ಒಂದು ಟಾಟಾ ಸುಮೋ ವಾಹನವನ್ನು 17.3.98 ರಲ್ಲಿ 8750 R.758-94 ದರ್ಜೆ ಮಾಡೆಲ್ ವಾಹನವನ್ನು ಖರೀದಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಖರೀದಿಸಿದ ವಾಹನವು ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಯಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಯೋಗ್ಯವಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಹಲವಾರು ಬಾರಿ ಎದುರುದಾರರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಎದುರುದಾರರು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು ಎದುರುದಾರರಿಂದ ಪಡೆದ ವಾಹನವನ್ನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಿ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಎದುರುದಾರರು ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಉತ್ತರ ನೀಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು ಈ ಆಯೋಗಕ್ಕೆ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 6 ನೇ ಜುಲೈ 1998 ರಲ್ಲಿ

K.Balananda
President

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು

Dr. Balasundaram
Asst. Director

ದಿನಾಂಕ 13/4/98 ರಂದು

ಸಹಿ

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಮತ್ತು ಉಪವಿಭಾಗಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು

ಸಹಿ

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಮತ್ತು ಉಪವಿಭಾಗಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು

14-4-98

ಸಹಿ

16-4-98

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 8750/R.T. 58-94 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ 17-3-98

ಸೂಚನೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2770-72/R.T. 58-94 ದಿನಾಂಕ 11-9-97ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರವು ಸಂಚಾರಿ ಪರವಾನಗಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡುವ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನಿರ್ದೇಶನದಂತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಷರತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ವಿಧಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಆದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಚಾರಿ ಪರವಾನಗಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡುವ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸಾರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರವು ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಕಾರ್ಯವಿಧಾನವನ್ನು ಸಹ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಂಚಾರಿ ಪರವಾನಗಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡುವ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸಾರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರವು ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಕಾರ್ಯವಿಧಾನವನ್ನು ಸಹ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಆದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಚಾರಿ ಪರವಾನಗಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡುವ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸಾರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರವು ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಕಾರ್ಯವಿಧಾನವನ್ನು ಸಹ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ದಾಕ್ಷಾಯಣಿಯು ಮಾಡಿದ ಯಜ್ಞ ? ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾರು ಹೋದರು -

ಇನ್ನು ಈ ಆಖ್ಯಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಹೀಗಿವೆ. ಯಜ್ಞ ಮಾಡಿದವರು ಯಾರು ? ಯಜ್ಞಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋದವರು ಯಾರು ? ಯಜ್ಞಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು ? ಯಜ್ಞಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಏನು ಮಾಡಿದರ

1847 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪೈಕಿ ಸುಮಾರು 1000 ಎಕರೆಗಳಷ್ಟು ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಅವರು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪೈಕಿ ಉಳಿದ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲಾಗುವುದು

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಆಸುಇ 32 ಕೆಎಂಎಸ್ 2019

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಮಾನ ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಆಡಳಿತ ಇಲಾಖ

ಬೆಳಿಂ ಸಚ್ಚಿಯಂ ರಾಜಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸೈನ್ಯ ಶಿಲ್ಪಿ—
ನೆ-ಅಂಬ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಿಸ್ತ ಲೋಕ್ಕಿ ಹಾಳ್ಗಳು—
ಸಿಲ್ಲಿ 1878 ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ ಎಪ್ರಿಲ್ ತಿಂಗಳು—16 ರಾಜ್ಯ—
ಹೊಂಸಕೆಯಿಂದ ಕ್ರಿಯ ಸರಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ
ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸೈನ್ಯ ಶಿಬಿರದ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರು—
ಬಿಡಿಸಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ಬಿಡಿಸಿಲ್ಲ, ಪೆಂಡಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸೈನ್ಯ
ಅಂದಾಂದಿಗೆ ಸೈನ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಕಾಲಿನ ಸೈನ್ಯ ಸರಿ
ಸೇವೆಯ ಸಿಂಧಿಂದರ ಕಾಂಪ್ಲಿಯಂ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಆ,
ಸರಿ ಸೈನ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಕಾಲಿನ ಸರಿ ಸೈನ್ಯ ಸೈನ್ಯ
ಸಚ್ಚಿ ಸೈನ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿ. ಶಿಬಿರ, ಕಾ ಸೈನ್ಯ ಸರಿ
ಆಲಂಕಾರಿಕ ಸೈನ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಆಗಿ—
ಬೆಳಿಂ ಸಚ್ಚಿಯಂ ರಾಜಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸೈನ್ಯ ಶಿಲ್ಪಿ—
ಆಲಂಕಾರಿಕ ಸೈನ್ಯ ಶಿಬಿರಾಧಿಕಾರಿ. ಆಧಿಕ್ಯ ಸಿಂಧಿಂದರ ಆಲಂಕಾರಿಕ
ಸಚ್ಚಿ ಶಿಬಿರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೈನ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಸೈನ್ಯ ಶಿಲ್ಪಿ—

ಶಿಬಿರ ಲಂ ಆಲಂಕಾರಿಕ ಸೈನ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿ
ಸೈನ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿ
ಸೈನ್ಯ ಸೈನ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿ
16-4-58

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
۱	۲	۳	۴	۵	۶	۷	۸	۹	۱۰	۱۱	۱۲	۱۳
۱	کرایہ گاڑی	۱۸۳۳	۱۴	۴۵۸	۷	۵	۵۱۲۵	۳	۵	۹۶۵۰	۱۵	۷۵۲۰	۱۶	۷۹۰	۰									
۲	ٹرانسپورٹ خرچہ	۹۲۲۷	۱۰	۶۰۶	۲	۵	۶۷۵۵	۶	۵	۷۸۸۰	۰	۵	۱۵۶۰	۰	۹۷۵۰	۰	۵	۶۹۰	۰					
۳	ڈیزل خرچہ	۹۳۱۲	۰	۲۴۷	۰	۲۱۹	۱۵	۰	۱۳۱۷	۱۱	۰	۱۵۶۰	۰	۱۸۷۷	۱۱	۰	۱۱۷	۶۸						
۴	ٹول ٹیکس و پارکنگ	۲۱۶۴	۱۷	۵۳۹	۳	۵	۷۸۵۲	۱۶	۵	۷۰۰۹	۱۳	۵	۱۶۶۰	۰	۸۶۷۹	۱۳	۵	۵۳۶۹	۱۰						
۵	کھانا	۱۵۲۶	۱۲	۳۸۱	۷	۰	۳۷۳۲	۱۶	۰	۷۹۵۸	۱۱	۰	۱۶۶۰	۰	۶۶۱۸	۱۱	۰	۷۰۲۷	۶۸						
۶	ٹیلی فون و انٹرنیٹ خرچہ	۶۱	۱۰	۱۶	۶	۵	۱۳۸	۱۰	۵	۲۰۰	۷	۵	۱۶۶۰	۰	۱۷۶۰	۷	۵	۱۱۰	۰	۳					
۷	اخراجات دفتر و متفرقہ	۲۰۲۶	۲	۵۲۹	۰	۵	۷۶۷۱	۷	۵	۶۷۷۲	۶	۵	۱۶۶۰	۰	۸۳۰۲	۶	۵	۵۱۹	۳۶						
۸	کرایہ عمارت									۶۸۹۰	۰	۶	۶۸۹۰	۰	۷۳۰	۱۰								
	منافع قبل از ٹیکس	۱۰۱۲۶	۱۰	۲۵۷۷	۲	۵	۲۲۸۹۲	۶	۵	۳۶۲۷	۰	۵	۶۸۱۰	۰	۵۰۸۸	۷	۵	۳۱۸۰	۷۰	۵۷۶	۰	۸۹۵۶	۷۰	۷۱۹۲۷	۱۱	۶

ڈائریکٹر
ڈائریکٹر

16/11/92

16-11-92

Date
21-4-98
No. 5925
BANGALORE DIST. R.D.

18/4/98

2014-28

17/4/98

ನಾನು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡಕವಾಗಿರುವ ವಿಷಯಗಳು
ನನ್ನ ಸ್ವಂತ ತಿಳುವಳಿಕೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಸತ್ಯಾಂಶಗಳು

ಸಹಿ/-

17/4/98.

Secy's orders

8 R

101

S&P

To.

The Dy Commr.
Bangalore District.

Nelamangala Tq

Dated 18.5.98

No. 19/0974
RY 58-94

Dy Commr's letter No. 1383 dated 20th April 1898 Submitting the explanation of Nandagudi Ramanna Gottier Inamdars of the Hoskote Taluk for their failure to pay the arrears of instalments due by them towards the repayment of the loan granted to them.

Referring to the above, has the honor to request that the Registered deed of mortgage executed by the Inamdars, may be Submitted for perusal by Govt.

"By order"
16/5/98
Secretary

Proof
Re deed is not in the file.

SS
16/5/98

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
No. 8566
MAY 25 1898
No. 1439
DEWAN'S OFFICE

1897-98

From

The Dy. Commissioner of the Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Genl & Rev Departments

Dated 23rd May 1898

Despatched

Received

General No.

D No. 1550 E/

Enclosure No. 2 documents

Secy's docket No. 10974 R.T. 58-94 of the 18th May 1898

Submits the registered deeds of mortgage executed by Nandyadi Ramanna & other Inamdars of the Harlette Taluk —

Rev 25/5/98

Read 25/5 Rota for Secy's

D. No. 1550 E.

Su 2 documents

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's office
Bangalore 23rd May 1898.

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev: Genl. Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

As required in your docket
No. 10974 / R.4.5-8.94 of the 18th May 1898,
calling for the submission for
perusal by Government, of the
registered deed of mortgage
executed by Kandgudi Ramanna
and other Inamdars
of the Hoskote Taluk,
I have the honor to
forward herewith the
two original documents
noted in the margin.

1. Mortgage deed for Rs. 50,000/- &
2. Deed of Lease for Rs. 4,500/- executed by Kandgudi Ramanna and 4 others in favor of the Durbar of Mysore.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

D. Balasundaram
for Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Mysore

Draft Order, ordered

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Read:- ✓ 25

Correspondence ending with docket No 1550 E dt 23rd May '98 from The Dy Comr, Bangalore Dt, regarding the loan of Rs 65,000/- granted to Naudagudi Ramanna and others.

—o—

Order thereon:-

In Govt Pro: Nos (29) 3544-5 / P.J. 192-92 dt 30th August 1894 and (28) 4789-90 / R.J. 58-94 dated 17th November 1895, a loan of Rs 65000/- was granted to Ramanna and others of the Naudagudi family on the mortgage of their Inam and Kayemgutta villages and Kaval noted on the margin, Subject to repayment at the rate of Rs 4,500/- a year and to the condition that all or any amount due to the Inamdars on account of Toddy revenue should be taken by Govt towards the reduction of the loan; and the mortgaged

Sealed 17 Dec 98

17/6/98

No 12022-24 dt 22-6-98.

Rg 58-94

17/6/98

Passed

17/6/98

2. Jodi Siddanhalli
" Hosa Venkatapura.
" Dalasagere.
" Geddehallipura
" Medur

Kayemgutta Ittasandra
" Nadapnaikanhalli.
Naudagudi Durga Kaval.
Vaddahalli village in

properties were leased out to the Inamdars for an annual rent of Rs 4500/-. But the Inamdars not only failed to pay the annual instalments of Rs 4500/- as per terms of the grant, but also made default in paying the Jodi dea of their Inam villages. Towards the arrears of instalments due for 1895-96 and 1896-97 only a sum of Rs 3,788-14-0 ~~were~~ was credited out of the Jodi revenue due to the Inamdars for the years 1892-93, 1893-94 and 1894-95. A similar advance for 1895-96 and 1896-97 having been sanctioned in Govt. Pro: No. 2146-55 (75) / Ex 79 dated 24th August 1897, it was directed in Pro: No. 2770-72 (76) / R.J. 58-94 on 11th September 1897 that out of the said advance, the Jodi dea due from the Inamdars should be deducted first and then the balance credited ~~to the~~ towards the loan account and that

it

if what remained of the latter was not paid up within a reasonable time and the Inamdars did not sufficiently explain the omission they might be informed that the villages would be ~~brought~~ taken under Government management as recommended by the Deputy Comr. In pursuance of this order a sum of Rs 1643-3-10 out of the toddy advance of Rs 1988-0-0 was credited towards the Jodi due on account of the villages in the Bangalore Dt and the balance viz Rs 344-12-2 on account of the Jodi due for Nadapnaikanhalli village in the Kolar Dt; and the Dy Comr of Bangalore gave the Inamdars two months' time to pay up the arrears of instalments. But the arrears in question not having been paid within the prescribed time, the Inamdars were called

called upon to explain their omission; and Ramanna on his own behalf and on behalf of some of the other Inamdars states that they are entitled to the Toddy revenue of not only the 5 villages of Dalasagere, Hosa-Venkatapura, Medur, Geddehallipura and Siddanhalli but also of Ittasandra and Agrahar Vaddarahalli and also of the Shop in the Govt. village of Hindignal, that a sum of Rs 47,703-11-8 is due to them on account of the Toddy revenue of the seven villages and the Hindignal shop till the end of the year 1896-97, out of which the sum of Rs 5,776/- has been already advanced by Govt. as above and that from the ~~amount~~ sum still due the arrears of instalments due to Govt. might be deducted. In submitting

Submitting this explanation of the Inamdars, the Dy Comr of Bangalore states that the Inamdar's ~~claim to Abkari revenue has been already settled, they~~ are not being found ~~to be~~ entitled to the Toddy revenue of Ittasandra and Agrahar Voddarahalli as well as of the Hindignal Shop, as claimed by them, that a sum of Rs 5,776-14-0 to which they were found entitled has been credited to Govt in payment of the arrears of land revenue and instalments of the loan and that their explanation is quite inadmissible; ~~and~~ he accordingly recommends that the Inam villages be taken under Govt management.

3788-14-0
1988-0-0
5776-14-0

2. Govt observe that the Inamdar's contention is wholly untenable. In the matter of ~~the~~ toddy revenue,

These Inamdars have been treated in precisely the same way as other Inamdars. Advances have been sanctioned subject to final adjustment and these advances have been taken towards part payment of the rent above referred to. The contention that a great deal more is due on account of Toddy revenue and that consequently the payment of the arrears of rent should not be enforced cannot be accepted. If after the general question of the Inamdars' claims to Toddy revenue is finally settled it should be found that any money is due to these Inamdars over and above the advances granted, they will of course get it. The pendancy of that question is no ground

for

for allowing the rent to fall into arrears. Under these circumstances, Govt. direct that the Inam villages and the Kaval mortgaged by the Inamdars be resumed & ~~brought under Govt. management~~ as recommended by the Dy Comr and suitable arrangements made for the management thereof by Govt. The Dy Comr is requested to give effect to this order at once and report the result for the information of Govt.

possession of

13/6/98

Sd/- 15/6/98
Secretary.

13/6/98

To

The Dy Comr, Bangalore Dt.

The Dy Comr, Kolar Dt.

The Comptroller, Mysore Government.